

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/560,665	04/27/2000	DAVID WILKINS	AND1P597	4368
29838 7590 07/06/2007 OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY, LLP			EXAM	INER
PLAZA VII, SUITE 3300			OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P	
45 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1609		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	09/560,665	WILKINS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jonathan Ouellette	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Ar</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 April 2007</u> .					
<i>'</i> =	·—					
. —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,9-12 and 14-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,9-12 and 14-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
occ the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3629

## DETAILED ACTION

# Request for Continued Examination

- A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after a
  decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but before the filing of a Notice
  of Appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or the commencement of a civil
  action.
- The Request filed on 4/30/2007 for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114
   based on parent Application No. 09/560,665 is acceptable and a RCE has been established.
   An action on the RCE follows.

#### Response to Amendment

3. Claims 3, 8, and 13 have been cancelled, and Claims 16-21 have been added; therefore, Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-12, and 14-21 are currently pending in application 09/560,665.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3629

5. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Polk (US 5,946,669) in view of United States Code governing child support payments, particularly 42 U.S.C. §666(b) and 15 U.S.C §1673 (b).

- 6. As per <u>independent Claims 1, 6, and 11</u>, Polk discloses a method for providing a network-based child financial support framework for facilitating communication between employers, custodial parents, and non-custodial parents (payment facilitation from non-custodial parent (employee) to employer (collector) to accumulator to custodial parent), abstract, Fig.4), comprising the steps of: (a) maintaining a database including information on a received financial support payment utilizing a network (200); (b) providing general information relating to the financial support payment utilizing the network (Figs.5-7, C9 L34-46, Claims 1-8); and (d) displaying a history associated with the financial support payment (C14 L21-26, C14 L48-50).
- 7. Although, Polk does disclose (c) calculating a proper amount of the financial support payment (1810, Fig.18; C17 L57-66), wherein a first portion of the profile (payment information amount, date, etc., C14 L21-30) is received from the user across the network and a second portion of the profile is received from the database (Initiator Database, C14 L35-40; Disbursement Database, C14 L35-57), and wherein the user may change the data in the second portion of the profile (C14 L21-57 once payment is made the Disbursement database (payments made/disbursed second profile) is updated to reflect historical information).
- 8. Polk fails to expressly disclose, wherein the financial support payment is based on a profile of a user and based on an amount paid to date from a non-custodial parent, and wherein the

Art Unit: 3629

profile includes data relating to *at least one of* income, number of children, basic support, insurance premium, child care cost, and additional expenses.

- 9. However, United States Code governing child support payments discloses wherein the financial support payment is based on a profile of a user and based on an amount paid to date from a non-custodial parent, and wherein the profile includes data relating to at least one of income, number of children, basic support, insurance premium, child care cost, and additional expenses (see specific portions sited in rejection issued by the BPAI on 2/28/2007)
- 10. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included calculating a proper amount of the financial support payment based on a profile of a user and based on an amount paid to date from a non-custodial parent, wherein the profile includes data relating to at least one of income, number of children, basic support, insurance premium, child care cost, and additional expenses, and wherein the user may change the data in the profile, as disclosed by United States Code in the system disclosed by Polk, for the advantage of providing a method for providing a network-based child financial support information site that complies with federal law.
- 11. Furthermore, Polk fails to expressly disclose <u>providing an interface configured to allow</u> custodial parent to retrieve information related to financial support payments and histories.
- 12. However, Polk does disclose tracking payment and disbursement information for individual initiators (parents/employees) (C9-10, Fig.5), and wherein the saved information is available to external devises (C9-C10).
- 13. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included providing an interface configured to allow custodial

Art Unit: 3629

parent to retrieve information related to financial support payments and histories in the system disclosed by Polk, for the advantage of providing a method for providing a network-based child financial support information site with the ability to increase customer service and account reliability by providing the users with the ability to verify account transaction information.

- 14. As per Claims 2, 7, and 12, Polk and United States Code disclose wherein the network includes the Internet.
- 15. As per Claims 4, 9, and 14, Polk and United States Code disclose wherein the history includes a date (Polk: Fig.9b), an amount received on the date (Polk: Fig.9b), and a disbursement of the amount received (Polk: 725) to the custodial parent (Polk: recipient 250).
- 16. Although neither Polk nor United States Code expressly disclose displaying an amount retained of the amount received, it is inherent in the system disclosed by Polk, that if the amount received and the disbursement amount are tracked, then the amount retained is also tracked, because it is a function of the amount received and the disbursement amount.
- 17. As per new Claims 16, 18, and 20, Polk and United States Code disclose providing an interface configured to allow custodial parent to retrieve information related to financial support payments and histories further comprising determining a status of said information (see rejection of independent claims receiving/verifying saved information obvious based on teachings of Polk).
- 18. As per new Claims 17, 19, and 21, Polk and United States Code disclose providing an interface configured to allow custodial parent to retrieve information related to financial support payments and histories further comprising submitting questions regarding said

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/560,665

Art Unit: 3629

information and receiving answers to said questions (see rejection of independent claims – receiving/verifying saved information obvious based on teachings of Polk).

- 19. Claims 5, 10, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Polk (US 5,946,669) in view of United States Code governing child support payments, particularly 42 U.S.C. §666(b) and 15 U.S.C §1673 (b), and further in view of Single Parent Central (www.singleparentcentral.com, Retrieved from Internet Archive Wayback Machine <www.archive.org>, date range: 10/13/1999-1/19/2000).
- 20. As per Claims 5, 10, and 15, Polk and United States Code Single Parent Central disclose presenting a plurality of frequently asked questions relating to the financial support payment.
- 21. However, Single Parent Central discloses a website for helping users with custodial payment processes, to include frequently asked questions (www.singleparentcentral.com).
- 22. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included presenting a plurality of frequently asked questions relating to the financial support payment, as disclosed by Single Parent Central, in the system disclosed by United States Code, in the system disclosed by Polk, for the advantage of providing a method for providing a network-based child financial support information site with the ability to increase customer service and user friendliness, by providing the user with all information necessary to successful complete the financial support process.

#### Response to Arguments

23. Applicant's arguments filed 4/30/2007, with respect to Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-12, and 14-21, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3629

### Conclusion

- 24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Ouellette whose telephone number is (571) 272-6807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8am 5:00pm.
- 25. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

  John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone numbers for the organization
  where this application or proceeding is assigned (571) 273-8300 for all official
  communications.
- 26. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Office of Initial Patent Examination whose telephone number is (703) 308-1202.

June 25, 2007

JONATHAN OUELLETTE JONATHAN EXAMINER PRIMARY EXAMINER 3600 PRIMARY CENTER 3600